



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,267	06/17/2008	Lawrence Solomon	ABT-033	2076
31673 7590 01/25/2012 TED W. WHITLOCK REGISTERED PATENT ATTORNEY, P.A. 5323 SW 38TH AVENUE FT. LAUDERDALE, FL 33314				
EXAMINER CHANNAVAJJALA, LAKSHMI SARADA				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1611				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/25/2012		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/598,267	Applicant(s) SOLOMON ET AL.	
	Examiner LAKSHMI CHANNAVAJJALA	Art Unit 1611	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LAKSHMI CHANNAVAJJALA. (3) _____.

(2) Ted Whitlock. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 January 2012

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☐ Others
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Substance of Interview
(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Examiner was informed by the attorney that a response to the final action dated 7/11/11 has not been filed and the application has been abandoned.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Lakshmi S Channavajjala/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1611	
--	--